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#### Abstract

The title aldehyde $\mathbf{1}$ in the presence of ammonia gives the pyridine derivatives $\mathbf{9 - 1 1}$ respectively with acetylacetone, diethyl malonate and ethyl cyanoacetate, and ethyl (or methyl)-1-benzopyrano[4,3-b]pyri-dine-3-carboxylate 22 (or 23) with ethyl (or methyl) acetoacetate. Acetylacetone pretreated with ammonia condenses with 1 giving the fused pyridine 24 . Ammonia converts the ester $\mathbf{6}$ to the pyridine $\mathbf{1 3}$ or $\mathbf{1 4}$. Chromic acid oxidation of $\mathbf{2 2}$ and $\mathbf{2 3}$ affords the coumarinopyridines $\mathbf{2 5}$ and 26, respectively.


J. Heterocyclic Chem., 38, 1459 (2001).

The title aldehyde $\mathbf{1}$ condenses readily in the presence of a base with active methylene compounds [2]. When a mixture of $\mathbf{1}$, acetylacetone ( $\mathbf{2}, \mathrm{X}=\mathrm{Y}=\mathrm{Ac}$ ) and ammonium acetate is refluxed in ethanol, the initial condensate $\mathbf{3}$ undergoes nucleophilic attack by ammonia at its pyran 2-position with concomitant opening of the pyran ring, the resultant intermediate $\mathbf{8}(\mathrm{X}=\mathrm{Y}=\mathrm{Ac})$ cyclising to the pyridine 9 (Scheme 1 - path $a$ ) [3]. When refluxed with methyl acetoacetate and liquor ammonia in methanol, the aldehyde function of $\mathbf{1}$ is involved in the Hantzsch pyridine synthesis so as to form the 1,4-dihydropyridine $\mathbf{1 6}$ evidently via the Michael adduct $15\left(\mathrm{R}^{1}=\mathrm{Me}\right)$ of the initial condensate 7 and methyl acetoacetate (Scheme 1 - path $b$ ) [4]; neither the pyridine $\mathbf{1 3}\left(\mathrm{CO}_{2} \mathrm{Me}\right.$ in place of $\left.\mathrm{CO}_{2} \mathrm{Et}\right)$ nor 14 anticipated to arise by the mechanism as depicted in





3: $\mathrm{X}=\mathrm{Y}=\mathrm{Ac}$
4. $\mathrm{X}=\mathrm{Y}=\mathrm{CO}_{2} \mathrm{Et}$

5: $\mathrm{X}=\mathrm{CO}_{2} \mathrm{Et}, \mathrm{Y}=\mathrm{CN}$
6: $\mathrm{X}=\mathrm{Ac}, \mathrm{Y}=\mathrm{CO}_{2} \mathrm{Et}$
$\underset{\substack{\mathrm{Ac} \mathrm{CH} \\ \mathrm{NH}_{3} \mathrm{CO}_{2} \mathrm{R}^{1},}}{ } \|_{\text {path } b}$


9: $\mathrm{Y}=\mathrm{Ac}, \mathrm{Z}=\mathrm{Me}$
10: $\mathrm{Y}=\mathrm{CO}_{2} \mathrm{Et}, \mathrm{Z}=\mathrm{OH}$, amide from
11. $\mathrm{Y}=\mathrm{CO}, \mathrm{Z}=\mathrm{OH}$ amide from
12. $\mathrm{Y}=\mathrm{CO}_{2} \mathrm{Et}, \mathrm{Z}=\mathrm{NH}_{2}$

13: $\mathrm{Y}=\mathrm{CO}_{2} \mathrm{Et}, \mathrm{Z}=\mathrm{Me}$
14: $\mathrm{Y}=\mathrm{Ac}, \mathrm{Z}=\mathrm{OH}$, amide from

1,3-17 a b c
R $\quad \mathrm{H} \quad \mathrm{MeCl}$
path $a$ is formed. These two conflicting reports [3,4] prompted us to record herein our findings on the condensation of the title aldehyde 1 with acetylacetone, diethyl malonate, ethyl cyanoacetate and ethyl as well as methyl acetoacetate in the presence of liquor ammonia.

The aldehyde 1a when refluxed with excess acetylacetone in a mixture of ethanol and liquor ammonia afforded the pyridine 9a. Thus in the presence of either ammonia or ammonium acetate as the source of ammonia, the reaction between 1 and acetylacetone follows the same course as depicted in Scheme 1 - path $a$. Under similar conditions 1 gave $\mathbf{1 0}$ with diethyl malonate and $\mathbf{1 1}$ (Tables 1 and 2) in complete exclusion of $\mathbf{1 2}$ with ethyl cyanoacetate via the intermediates 4 and 5, respectively. It is relevant to mention here that 3-cyanopyridone $\mathbf{1 1}$ and the corresponding amide also result from the pyridine mediated condensation of 1 with cyanoacetamide [5]. In contrast, ethyl acetoacetate $\left(\mathbf{2}, \mathrm{X}=\mathrm{Ac}, \mathrm{Y}=\mathrm{CO}_{2} \mathrm{Et}\right)$ with $\mathbf{1}$ under reflux in ethanol containing liquor ammonia gave neither the pyridine $\mathbf{1 3}$ (or 14) (Scheme 1 - path $a$ ) nor the dihydropyridine 17 (path $b$ ); the benzopyranopyridine 22 (Tables 3 and 4), identical with that derived from 1 and ethyl $\beta$-aminocrotonate (18), was the sole product. Formation of 5-hydroxy-5H-1-benzopyrano[4,3-b]pyridines analogous to 22-24 by treating 1 with various acyclic [6,7] as well as carbocyclic [7] enamines is well known.

Under the present experimental conditions ammonia can only generate from diethyl malonate and ethyl cyanoacetate the corresponding carbanions which condense with the aldehyde $\mathbf{1}$ and the resultant condensates $\mathbf{4}$ and 5 on further reaction with ammonia give the pyridines $\mathbf{1 0}$ and 11, respectively (Scheme 1 - path $a$ ). Under similar conditions ammonia can generate the corresponding carbanions from acetylacetone and ethyl as well as methyl acetoacetate or add to their ketone functionality to form respectively the enamines 20, $\mathbf{1 8}$ and $\mathbf{1 9}$ (Scheme 2). The methylene group of acetylacetone being highly active, the generation of the corresponding carbanion by ammonia predominates over the formation of the enamine 20; hence treatment of $\mathbf{1}$ with acetylacetone in the presence of ammonia

Table 1
3-Ethoxycarbonyl-, 3-Cyano- and 3-Acetyl-5-(2-hydroxybenzoyl)pyridin-2-one (10, 11 and 14) and Ethyl 2-Methyl-5-(2-hydroxybenzoyl)pyridine-3-carboxylate 13

[a] Spectra of $\mathbf{1 0}, \mathbf{1 1}$ and $\mathbf{1 4}$ were recorded in DMSO- $\mathrm{d}_{6}$ solution and those of $\mathbf{1 3}$ in $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ solution; aromatic protons show normal splitting pattern. [b] $\mathrm{J}_{4,6}$ varies from 2.1 to 2.7 Hz . [c] Ir (potassium bromide) for 11a : $3130(\mathrm{NH}), 3050(\mathrm{OH}), 2200(\mathrm{CN}), 1675($ amide CO); 11c : $3170(\mathrm{NH}), 3070(\mathrm{OH}), 2230$ (CN), 1675 (amide CO), 1630 (ketone CO) cm ${ }^{-1}$. [d] Lit. [5] mp 266-268 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (dec.). [e] Not detected. [f] Acetyl protons appear as a singlet at $\delta 2.56$ ppm.
follows the reaction course as depicted in Scheme 1 - path $a$ to give the pyridine 9 . In contrast, with ammonia ethyl acetoacetate having a comparatively less active methylene group forms the enamine 18 in preference to the corresponding carbanion. The resultant enamine 18 undergoes Michael addition to the $\alpha, \beta$-unsaturated carbonyl functionality of $\mathbf{1}$ with concomitant opening of the pyran ring [6] and subsequent recyclisation to give the intermediate $21\left(\mathrm{R}^{1}=\mathrm{OEt}\right)$, the latter ultimately cyclising to 22 (Scheme 2). If ammonia had generated the carbanion from ethyl acetoacetate, $\mathbf{1}$ would have been converted via $\mathbf{6}$ into the pyridine $\mathbf{1 3}$ or $\mathbf{1 4}$ (Scheme 1 - path $a$ ), the latter being indeed obtained by treating the preformed condensate $\mathbf{6}[8,9]$ with ammonia. Haas et al. [7] reported the formation of $\mathbf{1 3 a}$ (m.p. 66-67$)$ by refluxing $\mathbf{6 a}$ with liquor ammonia in ethanol. The same procedure gave in our hand $\mathbf{1 3 b}$ and $\mathbf{1 3 c}$ respectively from $\mathbf{6 b}$ and $6 \mathbf{c}$ but 14a from $6 a$ (Tables 1 and 2).

On subjecting methyl acetoacetate to condensation with 1 in refluxing methanol - liquor ammonia we obtained only 23 (Tables 3 and 4) in contrast to the previously reported [4] exclusive formation of $\mathbf{1 6}$. The fused pyridine $\mathbf{2 3}$ arises through condensation of $\mathbf{1}$ with the enamine $\mathbf{1 9}$, derived in situ from methyl acetoacetate and ammonia (Scheme 2). Thus methyl acetoacetate behaves similarly as ethyl acetoacetate towards the aldehyde $\mathbf{1}$ in the presence of ammonia. In the absence of experimental details [4], it is difficult to pinpoint the reaction conditions under which


1 with methyl acetoacetate and ammonia gives 16 instead of 23. The formation of the tricyclic system ( 22 and 23 ) is independent on the quantity of liquor ammonia used. The presence of hemiacetal functionality in $\mathbf{2 2}$ and $\mathbf{2 3}$ was confirmed by chromic acid oxidation of these compounds in acetic acid to the coumarinopyridines $\mathbf{2 5}$ and $\mathbf{2 6}$ (Tables 3 and 4), respectively.

Table 2
${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ Nmr Data of the Pyridines 10, 11, 13 and 14 [a]

| Carbon No./ | $\mathbf{1 0 a}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 b}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 c}$ | $\mathbf{1 1 a}$ | $\mathbf{1 1 b}$ | $\mathbf{1 1 c}$ | $\mathbf{1 3 b}$ | $\mathbf{1 3 c}$ | $\mathbf{1 4 a}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Type |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

[a] Spectra are recorded in the same solution as stated in the footnote [a] of Table 1. [b] Not detected

Table 3
1-Benzopyrano[4,3-b]pyridines 22-26

| Comp. No. (Mol. formula) | Yield (\%) | $\begin{gathered} \text { M.p } \\ \left({ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right) \\ (\mathrm{M} . \mathrm{p}[6]) \end{gathered}$ | Found (Calculated) |  |  | ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \mathrm{nmr}$ data : $\delta \mathrm{ppm}$ [a] |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | 4-H | 10-H | OH | $8-\mathrm{H}$ | 9- and/or | 5-H | $\mathrm{OCH}_{2} \mathrm{Me}$ | 2-Me | $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Me}$ |
|  |  |  | C | H | N | (s) |  | (d) $[\mathrm{b}]$ |  | 7-H | (d) $[\mathrm{b}]$ | (q) | $\left(\mathrm{CO}_{2} \mathrm{Me}\right.$ or COMe) (s) | (t) |
| 22a [c] | 52 | 190 | 67.1 | 5.0 | 5.2 | 8.19 | 8.21 | 7.67 | 7.41 | 7.08 | 6.49 | 4.28 | 2.76 | 1.29 |
| $\left(\mathrm{C}_{16} \mathrm{H}_{15} \mathrm{NO}_{4}\right)$ |  |  | (67.4 | 5.3 | 4.9) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 22b [d] | 48 | 210 | 68.2 | 5.3 | 4.8 | 8.13 | 8.01 | 7.58 | 7.23 | 6.93 | 6.45 | 4.29 | 2.77 | 1.30 |
| $\left(\mathrm{C}_{17} \mathrm{H}_{17} \mathrm{NO}_{4}\right)$ |  |  | (68.2 | 5.7 | 4.6) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 22c | 57 | 220 | 60.4 | 4.1 | 4.6 | 8.23 | 8.18 | 7.79 | 7.49 | 7.14 | 6.58 | 4.34 | 2.80 | 1.35 |
| $\left(\mathrm{C}_{16} \mathrm{H}_{14} \mathrm{NClO}_{4}\right)$ |  |  | (60.1 | 4.4 | 4.4) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 23a | 43 | 210 | 66.7 | 4.5 | 5.4 | 8.20 | 8.25 | 7.69 | 7.47 | 7.13 | 6.53 | - | 2.81 | - |
| $\left(\mathrm{C}_{15} \mathrm{H}_{13} \mathrm{NO}_{4}\right)$ |  | (237) | (66.4 | 4.8 | 5.2) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | (3.87) |  |
| $\mathbf{2 3 b}$ [d] | 46 | 182 | 67.1 | 5.0 | 5.2 | 8.12 | 7.99 | 7.61 | 7.20 | 6.93 | 6.42 | - | 2.75 | - |
| $\left(\mathrm{C}_{16} \mathrm{H}_{15} \mathrm{NO}_{4}\right)$ |  |  | (67.4 | 5.2 | 4.9) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | (3.81) |  |
| 23c | 47 | 214 | 60.2 | 4.2 | 4.2 | 8.13 | 8.09 | 7.78 | 7.41 | 7.06 | 6.48 | - | 2.74 | - |
| $\left(\mathrm{C}_{15} \mathrm{H}_{12} \mathrm{NClO}_{4}\right)$ |  | (202) | (58.9 | 4.0 | 4.6) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | (3.82) |  |
| 24a | 22 | 170 | 70.9 | 4.8 | 5.7 | 8.22 | 8.20 | 7.40 |  | -7.03 | 6.48 | - | 2.68 | - |
| $\left(\mathrm{C}_{15} \mathrm{H}_{13} \mathrm{NO}_{3}\right)$ |  |  | (70.6 | 5.1 | 5.5) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | (2.57) |  |
| 24b [d] | 25 | 175 | 71.7 | 5.2 | 5.0 | 7.95 | 8.10 | 4.75 | 7.22 | 6.98 | 6.47 | - | 2.83 | - |
| $\left(\mathrm{C}_{16} \mathrm{H}_{15} \mathrm{NO}_{3}\right)$ |  |  | (71.4 | 5.6 | 5.2) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | (2.61) |  |
| 24c [c] | 18 | 222 | 62.0 | 4.5 | 5.1 | 8.22 | 8.12 | 7.76 | 7.41 | 7.08 | 6.48 | - | 2.67 | - |
| $\left(\mathrm{C}_{15} \mathrm{H}_{12} \mathrm{NClO}_{3}\right)$ |  | (202) | (62.2 | 4.2 | 4.8) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | (2.56) |  |
| 25a [c] | 53 | 168 | 67.4 | 4.3 | 5.1 | 9.02 | 8.55 | - | 7.59 | 7.36 | - | 4.43 | 2.99 | 1.44 |
| $\left(\mathrm{C}_{16} \mathrm{H}_{13} \mathrm{NO}_{4}\right)$ |  |  | (67.8 | 4.6 | 5.0) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\mathbf{2 5 b}$ [d] | 47 | 192 | 68.4 | 5.0 | 4.3 | 9.03 | 8.34 | - | 7.38 | 7.23 | - | 4.43 | 3.00 | 1.43 |
| $\left(\mathrm{C}_{17} \mathrm{H}_{15} \mathrm{NO}_{4}\right)$ |  |  | (68.7 | 5.1 | 4.7) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 25c | 61 | 168 | 60.1 | 3.4 | 4.2 | 9.08 | 8.59 | - | 7.56 | 7.34 | - | 4.45 | 3.04 | 1.45 |
| $\left(\mathrm{C}_{16} \mathrm{H}_{12} \mathrm{NClO}_{4}\right)$ |  |  | (60.5 | 3.8 | 4.4) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 26 a | 42 | 210 | 67.3 | 3.7 | 5.4 | 9.10 | 8.63 | - | 7.63 | 7.41 | - | - | 3.04 | - |
| $\left(\mathrm{C}_{15} \mathrm{H}_{11} \mathrm{NO}_{4}\right)$ |  |  | (66.9 | 4.1 | 5.2) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | (3.97) |  |
| 26 c | 65 | 197 | 59.7 | 3.5 | 4.9 | 8.97 | 8.42 | - | 7.49 | 7.24 | - | - | 3.02 | - |
| $\left(\mathrm{C}_{15} \mathrm{H}_{10} \mathrm{NClO}_{4}\right)$ |  |  | (59.3 | 3.3 | 4.6) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | (3.96) |  |

[a] Spectra of 24b, 25 and 26 were recorded in $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ and those of others in DMSO-d ${ }_{6}$; aromatic protons show normal splitting. [b] $J \sim 6.0 \mathrm{~Hz}$. [c] Ir (potassium bromide) for 22a : $3130(\mathrm{OH}), 1710($ ester CO$) \mathrm{cm}^{-1} ; \mathbf{2 4 c}: 3130(\mathrm{OH}), 1675(\mathrm{CO}) \mathrm{cm}^{-1} ; \mathbf{2 5 a}: 1735$ (lactone CO), $1715(\mathrm{ester} \mathrm{CO}) \mathrm{cm}^{-1} ; \mathbf{2 5 b}$ : 1740 (lactone CO), 1720 (ester CO) $\mathrm{cm}^{-1}$. [d] 9-Me protons of $\mathbf{2 2 b}, \mathbf{2 3 b}, \mathbf{2 4 b}$ and $\mathbf{2 5 b}$ appear as singlets at $\delta 2.31,2.29,2.39$ and 2.46 ppm, respectively.

Table 4
${ }^{13} \mathrm{C} \mathrm{Nmr}$ Data of the 1-Benzopyrano[4,3-b]pyridines 22-24 in DMSO- $\mathrm{d}_{6}$ and of $\mathbf{2 5}$ and $\mathbf{2 6}$ in $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$

| Carbon <br> No./Type | 22a | 22b | 22c | 23a | 23b | 23c | 24a | 24c | 25a | 25b | 26 c |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2 | 159.5 | 159.5 | 159.7 | 159.0 | 159.5 | 159.7 | 157.8 | 158.0 | 160.4 | 160.7 | 159.6 |
| 3 | 124.5 | [ nd ] | 124.8 | 124.1 | 123.6 | 124.5 | 131.4 | 132.0 | 125.9 | 125.6 | 126.1 |
| 4 | 136.5 | 136.4 | 136.7 | 135.9 | 136.5 | 136.7 | 135.7 | 135.8 | 140.7 | 140.8 | 140.8 |
| 4a | 124.0 | 123.9 | 124.5 | 123.5 | 124.6 | 124.4 | 124.1 | 124.3 | 114.9 | 114.8 | 141.9 |
| 5 | 91.8 | 91.7 | 92.0 | 91.7 | 91.8 | 92.1 | 91.7 | 92.1 | 166.8 | 166.7 | 167.0 |
| 6a | 153.8 | 151.7 | 152.4 | 153.5 | 151.8 | 152.5 | 153.6 | 152.3 | 153.4 | 152.8 | 151.7 |
| 7 | 118.1 | 117.9 | 120.2 | 117.5 | 117.9 | 120.1 | 117.9 | 120.0 | 117.2 | 117.0 | 118.7 |
| 8 | 132.4 | 133.1 | 131.8 | 131.8 | 133.0 | 131.8 | 132.0 | 131.5 | 132.9 | 134.0 | 132.9 |
| 9 | 122.7 | 131.0 | 126.1 | 121.5 | 130.9 | 126.1 | 121.9 | 126.0 | 124.9 | 134.7 | 130.7 |
| 10 | 124.7 | 124.6 | 123.7 | 124.3 | 124.6 | 123.7 | 124.4 | 123.5 | 125.4 | 125.0 | 124.7 |
| 10a | 120.7 | 120.4 | 122.1 | 120.3 | 120.3 | 122.1 | 120.7 | 122.2 | 118.8 | 118.2 | 119.8 |
| 10b | 148.0 | 148.2 | 146.6 | 147.8 | 148.3 | 146.7 | 147.1 | 145.7 | 152.7 | 151.4 | 151.6 |
| 2-Me | 24.3 | 24.9 | 24.9 | 24.2 | 24.8 | 24.7 | 24.7 | 24.6 | 25.7 | 25.8 | 25.7 |
| 3-COR | 165.8 | 165.8 | 165.6 | 165.9 | 166.2 | 166.0 | 199.9 | 199.8 | 165.0 | 165.1 | 165.2 |
| $\mathrm{OCH}_{2} \mathrm{Me}$ | 61.3 | 61.3 | 61.3 | - | - | - | - | - | 61.7 | 61.7 | - |
| $\mathrm{OCH}_{2} \mathrm{Me}$ | 14.3 | 14.3 | 14.2 | - | - | - | - | - | 14.2 | 14.2 | - |
| $\mathrm{OMe} / \mathrm{Me}$ | - | - | - | 51.8 | 52.4 | 52.5 | 29.4 | 29.4 | - | - | 52.7 |
| $9-\mathrm{Me}$ | - | 20.6 | - | - | 20.5 | - | - | - | - | 20.9 | - |

[nd] not detected.

The mechanism as proposed for the formation of $\mathbf{2 2}$ and 23 (Scheme 2) envisages the pyranopyridine 24 to arise from the treatment of $\mathbf{1}$ with the preformed enamine $\mathbf{2 0}$. This contention was proved to be correct when 24 (Tables 3 and 4) was indeed formed by treating 1 with a solution of $\mathbf{2 0}$ obtained by prior refluxing an ethanolic solution of acetylacetone with liquor ammonia for 2 hours. In their ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \mathrm{nmr}$ spectra in perdeuteriodimethyl sulfoxide, 5-hydroxy protons of 22-24 appear as doublets at a very low field region ( $\delta$ 7.40-7.79) due to some kind of their association with the highly polar solvent whereas that of $\mathbf{2 4 b}$ in deuteriochloroform appears as a broadened singlet at $\delta 3.84 \mathrm{ppm}$ (Table 3).

## EXPERIMENTAL

Yields and uncorrected melting points of the crystallised products are reported and no attempts were made to optimise the yield. Nmr and ir spectra were recorded on Bruker AM 300L and Perkin-Elmer 782 spectrometers, respectively. Light petroleum refers to the fraction, bp $40-60^{\circ}$.
General Procedure for the Treatment of the Aldehyde 1 with Acetylacetone, Diethyl Malonate and Ethyl Cyanoacetate.

The aldehyde $\mathbf{1}(3 \mathrm{mmoles})$ and the appropriate active methylene compound 2 ( 6 mmoles) were dissolved in a mixture of ethanol ( 25 ml ) and liquor ammonia ( 10 ml ) and the reaction mixture gently refluxed for 2 hours. An aliquot ( 10 ml ) of liquor ammonia was added. More alcohol was added, if necessary, to make the reaction mixture homogeneous. After heating the reaction mixture under reflux for further 2 hours, most of the solvent was distilled out and the residue cooled. The deposited solid was collected by filtration and dried. By this procedure 1a gave 9a with acetylacetone, the pyridines $\mathbf{1 0}$ and $\mathbf{1 1}$ (Tables 1 and 2) respectively with diethyl malonate and ethyl cyanoacetate. The
pyridine 9 a was crystallised from chloroform-light petroleum, and $\mathbf{1 0}$ and $\mathbf{1 1}$ from ethanol.
3-Acetyl-5-(2-hydroxybenzoyl)-2-methylpyridine (9a).
This compound was obtained from 1a and acetylacetone in $64 \%$ yield as light yellow crystals, mp and mixed [3] mp $135^{\circ}$; ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ nmr : $\delta 199.2,198.2,163.2,161.4,131.0,125.0,123.3$ (s), 150.7, 137.0, 136.9, 132.6, 119.2, 118.9 (d), 29.2, 24.6 (q).

Treatment of the Acrylic Ester $\mathbf{6}$ with Ammonia.
A solution of the ester $6(0.5 \mathrm{mmoles})$ in ethanol $(20 \mathrm{ml})$ and liquor ammonia ( 10 ml ) was heated under reflux for 3 hours. The reaction mixture was then concentrated, diluted with water and extracted with chloroform. The organic extract was dried over anhydrous sodium sulphate, concentrated and cooled. The precipitated solid was isolated by filtration and crystallised from chloro-form-light petroleum to give the product as shining yellow crystals. This procedure gave 3-acetyl-5-(2-hydroxybenzoyl)pyridin-2-one (14a) from 6a but ethyl 2-methyl-5-(2-hydroxy-5-methylbenzoyl)and 2-methyl-5-(5-chloro-2-hydroxybenzoyl)-pyridine-3-carboxylate 13b and $\mathbf{1 3 c}$ from $\mathbf{6 b}$ and $\mathbf{6 c}$, respectively. The characterisation data of the above products are given Tables 1 and 2.
Ethyl 5-hydroxy-2-methyl-5H[1]benzopyrano[4,3-b]pyridine-3carboxylate (22).

The aldehyde 1a ( $348 \mathrm{mg}, 2$ mmoles) and ethyl acetoacetate ( $520 \mathrm{mg}, 4$ mmoles) were refluxed together in ethanol ( 25 ml ) containing liquor ammonia ( 10 ml ) for 4 hours. The reaction mixture was concentrated, diluted with water, cooled and the deposited solid isolated by filtration and crystallised from dimethylformamide - water to give the title pyranopyridine 22a. The mother liquor after isolation of 22a from the reaction mixture was extracted with chloroform. This extract after usual work-up did not produce any solid compound. 9-Methyl and 9 -chloro substituted analogues of 22a were similarly prepared from $\mathbf{6 b}$ and $\mathbf{6 c}$, respectively (Tables 3 and 4).

The Pyranopyridine 22a from 1a and Ethyl $\beta$-aminocrotonate (18).

A solution of $\mathbf{1 a}$ ( $348 \mathrm{mg}, 2 \mathrm{mmoles}$ ) and $\mathbf{1 8}(323 \mathrm{mg}, 2.5$ mmoles) in ethanol ( 25 ml ) was heated under reflux for 3 hours. Usual work-up of the reaction mixture gave 22a ( $342 \mathrm{mg}, 60 \%$ ) identical ( mp , mixed mp and superimposable ir) with that previously obtained by treating 1a with ethyl acetoacetate and ammonia.
Methyl 5-Hydroxy-2-methyl-5H-[1]benzopyrano[4,3-b]pyri-dine-3-carboxylate (23).

The substrate $\mathbf{1}$ dissolved in methanol was treated with methyl acetoacetate similarly as previously described for the treatment of $\mathbf{1}$ with ethyl acetoacetate in the presence of ammonia to afford the ester 23, the characterisation data of the product crystallised from dimethylformamide-water being presented in Tables 3 and 4.

3-Acetyl-5-hydroxy-2-methyl-5H-[1]benzopyrano[4,3-b]pyridine (24).

A solution of acetylacetone ( $\sim 1.0 \mathrm{~g}, 10 \mathrm{mmoles}$ ) and liquor ammonia ( 15 ml ) in ethanol ( 25 ml ) was refluxed for 2 hours. Then $\mathbf{1 a}$ ( $522 \mathrm{mg}, 3 \mathrm{mmoles}$ ) dissolved in ethanol ( 25 ml ) was added to the above solution. The reaction mixture was heated under reflux for 3 hours, concentrated by distilling out most of the alcohol, diluted with water and cooled. The deposited solid was isolated by filtration and crystallised from dimethylformamide - water to afford the title pyridine 24a, its two other analogues 24b and 24c (Tables 3 and 4) being similarly prepared from $\mathbf{1 b}$ and $\mathbf{1 c}$, respectively.
General Procedure for Oxidation of the Pyridines 22 and 23.
Chromium trioxide ( $\sim 30 \mathrm{mg}$ ) was added to 22 or 23 (70-100 mg ) dissolved in minimum amount of glacial acetic acid. The
reaction mixture was kept at room temperature for 6-8 hours and then diluted with water. The deposited solid was collected by filtration, washed with water, dried and crystallised from chloroform. By this procedure the pyridines 22 and 23 were oxidised respectively to ethyl and methyl 2-methyl-5-oxo$5 H[1]$ benzopyrano[4,3-b]pyridine-3-carboxylate 25 and 26 (Tables 3 and 4).
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